Key Questions	Options	Pros/Advantages	Cons/Disadvantages
Chief Executive?	Strong/ Administrative Mayor (no City Manager)	 Clear leader with recognized authority who sets the City's policy vision and moves policy forward Clear point of contact for constituent concerns City resident elected by the City's voters Spokesperson for the City, including representing its interests in Montpelier and with the VT Federal delegation Ceremonial head of the City Hires own staff, which encourages innovation Mayoral system easy for citizens to understand 	 Candidates would be limited to City residents and may not have professional qualifications City Manager position would be eliminated Governance could be seen as partisan or overly political Veto power could cause conflicts with council or override council's decisions Full-time job could be a barrier to entry for potential candidates Citywide campaign could be expensive, another barrier for candidates Campaign donations may raise suspicion of favoritism in later policy decisions Campaign could encourage or allow endorsement by City employees or employee groups, which could conflict with City policy Hires own staff, which could be done for reasons other than professional ability Could become entrenched as an incumbent, difficult to vote out
	City Manager (no Mayor) Appointed	 Selected based on professional qualifications, such as expertise, professional experience Neutral, non-political decisionmaker Provides continuity to City operations Runs day-to-day operation of City so elected officials can focus on policy matters Stays current on managerial and financial issues through continual education and professional development Hires and fires professional staff and protects them from political influence Available for citizen concerns Council can remove manager for poor performance 	 Potentially not connected to community Has unelected authority and may not respect Council's role as policymaker or implement the Council's policies May use the position to try to manipulate and control the Council and may form 'favorites' with Councilors City Manager system difficult for citizens to understand Council's ability to remove manager could incur expense due to employment contract

Key Questions	Options	Pros/Advantages	Cons/Disadvantages
Political Leader?	"Weak"/Policy Mayor (with City Manager) Elected	 Clear, recognizable leader of the City Clear point of contact for constituent concerns City resident elected by the City's voters Spokesperson and ceremonial head for the City Can help bring consensus to Council as active chair Supported by a professional City Manager and can provide support and guidance to Manager Can be a part-time position so more potential candidates may be able and willing to run Mayoral system easy for citizens to understand 	 Potential for conflicts between Policy Mayor and Council; Council may not support Policy Mayor Position has little statutory power Mayor may not accept limited role and may try to act as an Administrative Mayor Citywide campaign could be expensive, a barrier for many candidates City Manager may have less authority to act for the City May not always be available for citizen concerns if part-time position Nature of position may be confusing to citizens
	Council Chair (with City Manager) Elected as Councilor; chosen by fellow Councilors to be chair	 Works to build consensus, encourages collaboration, and listens to all councilors May be more of a "team spirit" than a system with a separate mayor City resident elected by the City's voters Can be replaced every year by other Councilors Provides many of the same services as a Policy Mayor Supported by a professional City Manager and can provide support and guidance to Manager 	 Not elected as Chair by City residents Unclear who is the spokesperson for the City Less of a clear point of contact for residents than with a Mayor Nature of the role may be confusing to voters Chair may lack sufficient political influence in lobbying, obtaining grants, etc. Potential for Chair conflict with Manager

Key Questions	Options	Pros/Advantages	Cons/Disadvantages
Council Composition?	5 Councilors	 Easier to find candidates to serve Easier to hold more efficient meetings, have cooperation and team building Easier to arrange meetings that all Councilors can attend Easier communication with the City Manager and the residents If legislative district (ward) based, voting logistics are simpler if just one Councilor per district (ward) Less expensive for City to pay fewer stipends 	 May represent fewer perspectives Too much work for each Councilor More difficult to get a quorum Only takes three Councilors to dismiss the city Manager
	More than 5 Councilors	 More perspectives will be represented May mean greater expertise, different skill sets in council Spreads out the work of the council to more people and may allow for extra activities such as subcommittees Councilors will be able to miss meetings or recuse themselves when necessary and there will still be a quorum 	 More difficult to reach consensus; more voices could lead to more conflict or factions Deliberations could get bogged down with more Councilors, possibly leading to less efficient meetings Difficult to find more candidates to run Adding subcommittees could take up more of Councilors' time and create additional staff work More expensive for City to pay more stipends and increase staff support and time commitment

Key Questions	Options	Pros/Advantages	Cons/Disadvantages
ney Questions	Elected at large	 All councilors have a city-wide perspective More people will be eligible to run for a council seat Residents from any legislative district (ward) can reach out to any councilor for assistance Voting logistics for at-large (city-wide) election are simpler for residents and the Clerk's office 	 May be unrepresentative of the entire City due to inequitable geographic representation Difficult for councilors to represent all residents of the City rather than just those in their legislative district (ward) Running for city-wide office may be expensive and time-consuming
Geographic Representation? For School Board and City Council	Elected by legislative district (ward)	 Residents more engaged with their Councilor at a neighborhood level Legislative districts (wards) could correspond to existing legislative districts with simpler voting logistics, if only 5 Councilors Familiarity with localized issues More affordable and less time consuming for candidates to campaign in their legislative district (ward) Smaller candidate pool may encourage more candidates Assuming the 5 legislative boundaries determine the districts (wards), it's easier to have 5 or 10 Councilors (one or two from each district). It may be less confusing for voters than a mixture of geographic representation. 	 Potential to lose city-wide perspective and, to be reelected, Councilors may have to prioritize their own legislative district (ward) May be difficult to find a candidate to run in each legislative district (ward) Smaller candidate pool may lead to more candidates running unopposed, so possibly less voter choice Some potential candidates (including current councilors) may not be able to serve Confusing to voters to have one Councilor per legislative district (ward) plus more at-large, if over 5 Councilors If legislative boundaries determine wards, the Legislature (not the City) determines the districts (wards); redistricting every 10 years could cause confusion If the number of Councilors is between 5 and 10 it may confuse voters to have one per legislative district (ward) plus one or more at large.

Key Questions	Options	Pros/Advantages	Cons/Disadvantages
Courth	5 Directors	 Easier to find candidates to serve Easier to hold more efficient meetings, have cooperation and team building Easier to arrange meetings that all Directors can attend Easier communication with the Superintendent and the residents If legislative district (ward) based, voting logistics are simpler if just one Director per district (ward) Less expensive for City to pay fewer stipends 	 May represent fewer perspectives Members often work on multiple committees and bargaining groups which creates a high workload More difficult to get a quorum Only takes three Directors to dismiss the Superintendent
South Burlington Board of School Directors Composition?	More than 5 Directors	 More perspectives will be represented May mean greater expertise, different skill sets in School Board Spreads out the work of the Directors to more people and may allow for extra activities such as more subcommittees Directors will be able to miss meetings or recuse themselves when necessary and there will still be a quorum More contacts for the public and more outreach to the community 	 More difficult to reach consensus; more voices could lead to more conflict or factions Deliberations could get bogged down with more Directors, possibly leading to less efficient meetings Difficult to find more candidates to run More subcommittees could take up more of Directors' time and create additional staff work More expensive for School to pay more stipends and increase staff support and time commitment